

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Crimson IP LLC,</b><br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>v.<br><br><b>Tecore, Inc.,</b><br><br>Defendant. | Case No. 6:21-cv-892<br><br>Patent Case<br><br>Jury Trial Demanded |
|--|--|

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Crimson IP LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Tecore, Inc. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Crimson IP LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 3571 Far West Blvd #3017, Austin, TX 78731.

2. Defendant Tecore, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains an established place of business at 7030 Hi Tech Dr, Hanover, MD 21076. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, at 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701.

**JURISDICTION**

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

#### **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and is incorporated in this District's state.

#### **PATENT-IN-SUIT**

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 8,868,070 (the "Patent-in-Suit" or the "'070 Patent"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

#### **THE '070 PATENT**

8. The '070 Patent is entitled "Method of and architecture for a virtual wireless network," and issued October 21, 2014. The application leading to the '070 Patent was filed on March 16, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '070 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

9. The '070 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### **COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '070 PATENT**

10. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

11. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has directly infringed at least claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the “Exemplary Defendant Products”) that infringe at least claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe at least claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

12. Defendant also has directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

13. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘070 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of at least claim 1 of the ‘070 Patent.

14. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

15. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendants infringement.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

16. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '070 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly at least claim 1 of the '070 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendants past infringement with respect to the '070 Patent.
- E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendants infringement, an accounting:
  - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
  - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
  - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 26, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Papool S. Chaudhari

Papool S. Chaudhari

PRA Law

State Bar No. 24076978

2800 Bartons Bluff Lane #1902

Austin, TX 78746

(214) 702-1150

papool@pralawllc.com

Together with:

CHONG LAW FIRM PA

Jimmy Chong (#4839)  
2961 Centerville Road, Suite 350  
Wilmington, DE 19808  
Telephone: (302) 999-9480  
Facsimile: (877) 796-4627  
Email: [chong@chonglawfirm.com](mailto:chong@chonglawfirm.com)

**Attorneys for Crimson IP LLC**